## EXHIBIT 6

```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2.
                    EASTERN DIVISION
 3
      IN RE: NATIONAL
 4
                              ) MDL No. 2804
      PRESCRIPTION
      OPIATE LITIGATION
 5
                              ) Case No.
                                  1:17-MD-2804
 6
      THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ) Hon. Dan A.
      TO ALL CASES
                              ) Polster
 8
                WEDNESDAY, APRIL 24, 2019
 9
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
10
                 CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
                Videotaped deposition of Anna
13
     Lembke, M.D., held at the offices of Lieff
     Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, 275
14
15
     Battery Street, 29th floor, San Francisco,
     California, commencing at 8:07 a.m., on the
16
     above date, before Carrie A. Campbell,
17
18
     Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified
19
     Realtime Reporter.
20
2.1
22
23
               GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
                     deps@golkow.com
25
```

```
1
            having been more skeptical about the
 2.
            use of opioids, but in general, I
 3
            believe that doctors were primarily
 4
            duped by the defendants into
 5
            prescribing opioids for chronic pain
 6
            and minor pain conditions.
 7
     QUESTIONS BY MR. TSAI:
 8
                   And can you point to me
            Ο.
 9
     anywhere where you have quantified the degree
10
     or extent of, as you say, the responsibility
11
     of prescribers in Cuyahoga and Summit
12
     Counties due to their lack of diligence or
     negligence?
13
14
                   MR. ARBITBLIT: Object to form.
15
                   THE WITNESS: It's not a lack
16
            of diligence or negligence. It's a
17
            matter of a paradigm shift in the way
18
            that doctors were pressured into
19
            treating pain with opioids, and I have
20
            quantified that in an article that we
21
            published in The Journal of the
22
            American Medical Association, showing
23
            that the increase in opioid
24
            prescribing based on the Medicare
25
            Part D database -- which is a database
```

```
that covers the entire United States,
 1
 2.
            showing that increased prescribing has
            not been driven primarily by a small
 3
 4
            subset of prolific prescribers, but by
 5
            a paradigm shift in prescribing
 6
            opioids across all different types of
 7
            prescribers such that we were all
 8
            prescribing more opioids as a result
 9
            of misrepresentation of the evidence
            by the defendants.
10
11
     QUESTIONS BY MR. TSAI:
12
            Q.
                   And what was the quantification
13
     of the degree of responsibility for
     physicians in your analysis?
14
15
                   MR. ARBITBLIT: Object to form.
16
                   THE WITNESS: I feel like I've
17
            answered that question.
18
     QUESTIONS BY MR. TSAI:
19
                   Well, if there's an amount or a
            Ο.
20
     number, would that be in the article?
21
                   MR. ARBITBLIT: Object to form.
22
     QUESTIONS BY MR. TSAI:
23
                   Or was it a qualitative
            Ο.
24
     analysis?
25
            Α.
                   It was a quantitative analysis.
```

```
1
                   The article uses the
 2.
     quantitative analysis of the Medicare Part D
 3
     database to demonstrate that pill mill
 4
      doctors, doctors who, as you suggested, are
 5
     committing crimes are not the major factor.
     Those doctors exist.
 6
 7
                   Their behavior is
 8
     reprehensible, but the vast majority of
 9
     opioids prescribed in this country are
10
     prescribed not by such ethically compromised
11
     doctors, but by well-intentioned doctors who
12
     have been prescribing according to the
13
     misrepresentation of the evidence made
14
      available to them by the actions of the
     defendants.
15
16
                    (Lembke Exhibit 11 marked for
17
            identification.)
18
     BY MR. TSAI:
19
                   So I'll introduce exhibit next
            Ο.
20
      in order. So this is a --
21
            Α.
                   I don't have it yet. Just grab
22
      it?
23
            Ο.
                   I'll describe it to you while
24
      the court reporter is marking it.
25
                   I'll represent to you that this
```

- is a press release from the US Department of
- Justice. It's dated August 22, 2018.
- Were you aware of that press
- 4 conference that happened right in Cleveland,
- 5 Ohio?
- 6 A. I might have read about it if
- 7 it was in the public domain. I don't
- 8 specifically recall.
- 9 Q. Okay. So if you could turn to
- page -- starting on page 3 of this exhibit,
- 11 press release, and I would just like to focus
- you in on this issue. It is the third
- paragraph from the bottom, and I'll just read
- 14 it.
- "My third announcement arises
- from Operation Darkness Falls, a joint
- operation against dark net fentanyl
- traffickers by the FBI, the IRS, our Postal
- 19 Inspectors and Homeland Security
- investigators, again right here in northern
- 21 Ohio.
- "Today I'm announcing that this
- office has charged a husband and wife who, at
- the time of their arrest, were the most
- prolific dark fentanyl vendor in the United